Affirmative Action Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies’ affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

_EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government_

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD) Answer No
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD) Answer No

The NCPC exceeds the 12% benchmark for PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10: 50% Cluster GS-11 to SES: 16%

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
   a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD) Answer Yes
   b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD) Answer Yes

There are 0 NCPC employees who self-identify in the PWTD category. Therefore, neither of the grade level cluster groups meets the 2% goal for PWTD. Staff do not voluntarily self-identify disabilities on a recurring basis, so the participation rate may be inaccurate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Level Cluster (GS or Alternate Pay Plan)</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targeted Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numeral Goal</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades GS-1 to GS-10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grades GS-11 to SES</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

The numerical goals have been communicated to the HR Specialist at the annual EEO Program Overview meeting. During that meeting, the EEO PM and HR Specialist discuss opportunities to achieve the numerical goals.

Section II: Model Disability Program
Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

   Answer Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disability Program Task</th>
<th># of FTE Staff By Employment Status</th>
<th>Responsible Official</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full Time</td>
<td>Part Time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 508 Compliance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architectural Barriers Act Compliance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing applications from PWD and PWTD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If “yes”, describe the training that disability program staff have received. If “no”, describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

   Answer No

The IT Specialist who carries out the responsibilities of the EEO Program is scheduled to complete the Refresher Training for EEO Counselors in April 2023.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

   Answer Yes
Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

| Brief Description of Program Deficiency | D.1.c. Does the agency conduct exit interviews or surveys that include questions on how the agency could improve the recruitment, hiring, inclusion, retention and advancement of individuals with disabilities? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(1)(ii)(C)] |

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency’s recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

The NCPC uses USAJOBS postings under area of consideration as a recruitment tool. NCPC also uses flags/indicators in the USA Staffing application and evaluation system.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency’s use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

NCPC leverages the Schedule A Hiring Authority to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce. In accordance with OPM policy and procedures, applicants who apply by means of the Schedule A Hiring Authority must provide documentation to verify eligibility for employment under Schedule A. Additionally, the applicant must be qualified or highly qualified to perform the duties of the position.

3. When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual’s application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

In accordance with OPM policy and procedures, applicants who apply by means of the Schedule A Hiring Authority must provide documentation to verify eligibility for employment under Schedule A. (1) HR determines whether an individual is eligible for a hiring authority based on disability based on the required documentation submitted (e.g. for Schedule A claims, the applicant must include documentation verifying their covered disability from an appropriate authority, such as a licensed medical professional, in accordance with OPM regulations). (2) An eligible individual would be referred to the hiring official with indication of the hiring authority of which he/she is eligible for on their application; explanation of the authority and its procedures is provided and available in agency’s hiring procedures manual for hiring officials and further guidance/information provided at time of hiring manager’s evaluation.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If “yes”, describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If “no”, describe the agency’s plan to provide this training.

Answer Yes

Hiring Managers have received training on employee relations and staffing/recruitment, plus a Hiring Procedures Manual was created as a “go-to” guide for them on the hiring process.
B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency’s efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

NCPC is in contact with Department of Labor’s Office of Disability Employment Policy including its Federal Exchange on Employment and Disability (FEED) and its Workforce Recruitment Program (WRP). There are plans to create partnerships and advertise vacant full-time and internship positions with professional associations and academic institutions of underrepresented groups such as Black Urban Planners; APA’s Latinos and Planning Division; Paralyzed Veterans Architects of America; Asia-Pacific Urban Designers; Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning LGBTQIA+ Allies; and American Indian Council of Architects and Engineers; among others.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below.

   a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD) Answer No
   b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD) Answer Yes

There were three new hires in FY 2022. The participation rate for PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce is 0%, which falls below the goal of 2% for PWTD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hires</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targeted Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permanent Workforce</td>
<td>Temporary Workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Total Applicants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Qualified Applicants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of New Hires</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires for MCO (PWD) Answer No
   b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD) Answer Yes

There were three new hires in FY 2022. The participation rate for PWTD among the new hires for MCO was 0%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability</th>
<th>Targetable Disability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>New Hires (%)</td>
<td>New Hires (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numerical Goal</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD) Answer N/A
b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)  
Answer  N/A

NCPC recruited internal applicants for only one mission-critical occupation in FY22; therefore, sufficient data to determine if triggers exist for PWD and PWTD is not available.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If “yes”, please describe the triggers below. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)  
Answer  N/A

   b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)  
Answer  N/A

Promotion opportunities are rare at NCPC due to its small size and high retention rate. Therefore, data to determine if triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to the MCO is insufficient.

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency’s plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

Opportunities for advancement are rare at NCPC due to its small size and high retention rate. Most of the workforce are in a highly specialized occupational group. Opportunities for advancement usually occur upon another individual separating from the organization. In the event an opportunity for advancement becomes available, all qualified individuals, including PWD and PWTD are able to compete for the position.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

NCPC provides the following career development opportunities to all staff: • Internship Programs • Fellowship Programs • Specialized Training • Conferences • Informal Mentoring/Couching

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/ approval to participate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career Development Opportunities</th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>PWD</th>
<th>PWTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicants (#)</td>
<td>Selectees (%)</td>
<td>Applicants (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellowship Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detail Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentoring Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Career Development Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Career Development Opportunities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Participants</th>
<th>PWD</th>
<th>PWTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applicants (#)</td>
<td>Selectees (#)</td>
<td>Applicants (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship Programs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
   b. Selections (PWD) Answer N/A

Applicant data is not available for NCPC to identify triggers among the applicants and selectees for the internship program. The internship program at the NCPC is unpaid and voluntary; therefore, participants do not complete the SF-256 for Self-Identification of Disability. NCPC internship program is a student volunteer program open to college students year-round. They do not apply through the federal competitive process; just submit application (resume, cover letter, portfolio if applicable) and are interviewed and serve a temporary period on an unpaid/voluntary basis. There are plans to create partnerships and advertise vacant internship positions with professional associations and academic institutions of underrepresented groups such as Black Urban Planners; APA’s Latinos and Planning Division; Paralyzed Veterans Architects of America; Asia-Pacific Urban Designers; Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning LGBTQIA+ Allies; and American Indian Council of Architects and Engineers; among others.

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
   b. Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A

Applicant data is not available for NCPC to identify triggers among the applicants and selectees for the internship program. The internship program at the NCPC is unpaid and voluntary; therefore, participants do not complete the SF-256 for Self-Identification of Disability. NCPC internship program is a student volunteer program open to college students year-round. They do not apply through the federal competitive process; just submit application (resume, cover letter, portfolio if applicable) and are interviewed and serve a temporary period on an unpaid/voluntary basis.

### C. AWARDS

1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If “yes”, please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

   a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD) Answer No
   b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD) Answer No

All employees, including PWD, who had satisfactory performance ratings received performance bonuses in FY22. Also, of the few employees granted time-off awards, one included a PWD. There are no PWTD reported at NCPC; therefore, data is insufficient to determine if a trigger exists in this category.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time-Off Awards</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Without Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Targeted Disability %</th>
<th>Without Targeted Disability %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>266.67</td>
<td>69.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>266.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>266.67</td>
<td>69.57</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>266.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>347.83</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>173.91</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Awards</th>
<th>Total (#)</th>
<th>Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Without Reportable Disability %</th>
<th>Targeted Disability %</th>
<th>Without Targeted Disability %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $501 - $999: Awards Given</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $501 - $999: Total Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $501 - $999: Average Amount</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $1000 - $1999: Awards Given</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $1000 - $1999: Total Amount</td>
<td>6287</td>
<td>25833.33</td>
<td>20595.65</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25833.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $1000 - $1999: Average Amount</td>
<td>1571</td>
<td>25833.33</td>
<td>6865.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25833.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $2000 - $2999: Awards Given</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>65.22</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $2000 - $2999: Total Amount</td>
<td>46848</td>
<td>114533.33</td>
<td>164117.39</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>114533.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $2000 - $2999: Average Amount</td>
<td>2465</td>
<td>38166.67</td>
<td>10939.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>38166.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $3000 - $3999: Awards Given</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>21.74</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>16.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $3000 - $3999: Total Amount</td>
<td>19066</td>
<td>50833.33</td>
<td>69634.78</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>50833.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Awards: $3000 - $3999: Average Amount</td>
<td>3177</td>
<td>50833.33</td>
<td>13926.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>50833.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. SES
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  
         Answer  N/A
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  
         Answer  N/A

   b. Grade GS-15
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  
         Answer  N/A
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  
         Answer  N/A

   c. Grade GS-14
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  
Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  
Answer N/A

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)  
Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)  
Answer N/A

NCPC did not have any promotion opportunities to the senior grade levels in FY22; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)  
Answer N/A

NCPC did not have any promotion opportunities to the senior grade levels in FY22; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWD)  
Answer N/A

b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD)  
Answer N/A

c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD)  
Answer N/A

d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD)  
Answer N/A
Data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. New Hires to SES (PWTD) Answer N/A
   b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD) Answer N/A
   c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD) Answer N/A
   d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD) Answer N/A

Data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A
   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A
   c. Supervisors
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD) Answer N/A
      ii. Internal Selections (PWD) Answer N/A

NCPC did not have promotion opportunities to supervisory positions in FY22; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

   a. Executives
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
      ii. Internal Selections (PWTD) Answer N/A
   b. Managers
      i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD) Answer N/A
NCPC did not have promotion opportunities to supervisory positions in FY22; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
   a. New Hires for Executives (PWD) Answer N/A
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWD) Answer N/A
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD) Answer N/A

NCPC did not have new hire opportunities to supervisory positions in FY22; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If “yes”, describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select “n/a” if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
   a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD) Answer N/A
   b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD) Answer N/A
   c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD) Answer N/A

NCPC did not have new hire opportunities to supervisory positions in FY22; therefore, data to determine if triggers exist is insufficient.

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If “no”, please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.
   Answer N/A

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.
a. Voluntary Separations (PWD)  
Answer  No  
b. Involuntary Separations (PWD)  
Answer  No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Separations</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>Reportable Disabilities %</th>
<th>Without Reportable Disabilities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Removal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Resignation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Retirement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Other Separations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Total Separations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If “yes”, describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD)  
Answer  No  
b. Involuntary Separations (PWTD)  
Answer  No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Separations</th>
<th>Total #</th>
<th>Targeted Disabilities %</th>
<th>Without Targeted Disabilities %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Removal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Resignation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Retirement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Other Separations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Workforce: Total Separations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

In FY 22, there were zero PWD who voluntarily separated from the agency. There is insufficient data to determine if a trigger exists.

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://www.ncpc.gov/policies/accessibility/

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency’s public website for its notice explaining employees’ and applicants’ rights under the Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.
3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

In compliance with EEO laws, regulations, and guidance, NCPC considers accessibility of agency facilities and technology when making procurement, facilities, and technology decisions.

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

There were no requests in FY22 to determine any average timeframe.

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency’s reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

The effectiveness of policies and procedures to implement NCPC’s reasonable accommodation program is demonstrated by expeditious processing and approval of internal requests. NCPC also maintains accommodation request logs to monitor any trends.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

NCPC did not obtain PAS requests in FY 22.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

   Answer   N/A

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

   Answer   N/A

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
NCPC did not have any findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?
   Answer N/A

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?
   Answer N/A

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.
   N/A. NCPC did not receive a finding of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?
   Answer Yes

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?
   Answer Yes

3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments
**Source of the Trigger:** Workforce Data (if so identify the table)

**Specific Workforce Data Table:** Workforce Data Table - B1

**STATEMENT OF CONDITION THAT WAS A TRIGGER FOR A POTENTIAL BARRIER:**

The agency does not re-survey staff to self-identify disability status. A disability can occur at any time, so current workforce should be surveyed to self-identify disabilities on an annual basis.

**STATEMENT OF BARRIER GROUPS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>People with Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People with Targeted Disabilities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Barrier Analysis Process Completed?:** Y

**Barrier(s) Identified?:** Y

**STATEMENT OF IDENTIFIED BARRIER:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Barrier Name</th>
<th>Description of Policy, Procedure, or Practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resurvey workforce to self-identify disability</td>
<td>A disability can occur at any time, so current workforce should be surveyed to self-identify disabilities on an annual basis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective(s) and Dates for EEO Plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Initiated</th>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Sufficient Funding / Staffing?</th>
<th>Date Modified</th>
<th>Date Completed</th>
<th>Objective Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/28/2023</td>
<td>11/15/2023</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To increase the participation rate of the total workforce who self-identify a disability and/or targeted disability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Responsible Official(s)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Standards Address The Plan?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HR Specialist</td>
<td>Lori Abdin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Planned Activities Toward Completion of Objective**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Date</th>
<th>Planned Activities</th>
<th>Sufficient Staffing &amp; Funding?</th>
<th>Modified Date</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/15/2023</td>
<td>Re-survey staff to self-identify disabilities during the open season period.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Report of Accomplishments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Accomplishment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

None to report.

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

None to report.

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

Not applicable